Ub

b UNIVERSITÄT BERN

u^b EnhanceR Symposium 2024

SMART framework for FAIRness

Assisting FAIRness: SMART-metrics for FAIR research data lifecycle management

Federico Grasso Toro, Data Steward

From the point of view of **Research Software Engineers**



SMART framework for FAIRness Content

Introduction and General Objectives

Proposed Framework – Overview

- 1. SMART Metrics
- 2. Research Data Life Cycle Management
- 3. FAIR Principles as Requirements
- 4. BDD and Gherkin Syntax

Framework Advantages

Conclusions and Further Work



u^b Data Management Plans Introduction – A short history



- → The concept of data management began in the 1960s, with the Association of Data Processing Service Organizations (ADAPSO) forwarding data management advice, with an emphasis on professional training and quality assurance metrics.¹
- → DMPs were originally used in 1966 to manage aeronautical and engineering projects' data collection and analysis, and expanded across engineering and scientific disciplines in the 1970s and 1980s.²
- → Today, DMPs are widely used in research projects across various fields, including social sciences, humanities, and natural sciences.¹

u^b Introduction – General Objective FAIRness assessment by digital technologies for research data

FAIR (Findable, Accessible, Interoperable, Reusable) for ensuring reproducible science.

→ Challenge: Required machine-readability and automating assessment process (FAIR-metrics).

Goal:

Framework to leverage digital technologies to enhance and assess FAIRness for research results.



3. DMPs as Management Tool for Intellectual Assets by SMART-metrics | International Journal of Digital Curation (ijdc.net)

u^b Proposed Framework – Overview

A Conceptually Sound and Technology-Agnostic Framework

Framework integrates:

- **1. SMART metrics** (Specific, Measurable, Achievable, Relevant, Time-bound) for structured tracking of intellectual assets (i.e., research outcomes).
- 2. Research Data Life Cycle Management (RDLCM) to map/manage research workflow.
- 3. **FAIR principles as machine-requirements**, to ensure and assess compliance with established guidelines/policies.
- 4. Behaviour Driven Development (BDD) by Gherkin syntax for *discovery, formulation* and *automation* of FAIRness and any other Open Science requirements.

Conceptual Strength: Each Component supports automated FAIRness evaluation.
Technology-agnostic: Applied across various platforms and systems.





7 November 7th, 2024. Empa-Akademie in Dübendorf



SMART Metrics Tracking Intellectual Assets

Component 1: SMART Metrics

... criteria that help setting and measuring metrics related to *research outcomes*.

- **Specific**: Clear, defined intellectual assets.
- Measurable: Quantifiable, enabling assessment.
- Achievable: Realistic within the project's scope.
- **Relevant**: Aligned with FAIRness objectives.
- **Time-bound**: Defined **outputs** within a timeline.



u^b RDLCM – Research Data Life Cycle Management Manage research information (data + metadata)

Component 2: Research Data Life Cycle Management (RDLCM)

...the process of managing research data from creation to archiving.

General to Mapped Management

From SMART metrics RDLCM



9 November 7th, 2024. Empa-Akademie in Dübendorf

u^b RDLCM – Research Data Life Cycle Management Manage research information (data + metadata)

Component 2: Research Data Life Cycle Management (RDLCM)

... the process of managing research data from creation to archiving.

General to Mapped Management

From SMART metrics RDLCM

Ensures Research Information are **mapped** throughout its lifecycle (creation, processing, sharing, preservation).

→ Structured way to assess if each phase of the data lifecycle aligns with FAIR principles to be validated by research management office.



^{5.} Leveraging Measurement Data Quality by Adoption of the FAIR Guiding Principles 6. Leveraging measurement data guality by adoption of the FAIR guiding principles (degruyter.com)

u^b RDLCM – Research Data Life Cycle Management Manage research information (data + metadata)



11 November 7th, 2024. Empa-Akademie in Dübendorf



FAIR Principles – Subclauses as Requirements

FAIRness as references for research outcomes assesment

Component 3: FAIR Principles (as 15 requirements)

Overview:

FAIR principles addressing

findability, accessibility, interoperability,

and reusability of research outcomes.

→ These 15 subclauses can be embedded as requirements within the framework, providing specific targets that each intellectual asset must meet.

| ROX | 2 The FAIR Guiding Principles |
|--------|--|
| To be | Findable: |
| F1. (m | neta)data are assigned a globally unique and persistent identifier |
| F2. da | ta are described with rich metadata (defined by R1 below) |

- F3. metadata clearly and explicitly include the identifier of the data it describes
- F4. (meta)data are registered or indexed in a searchable resource

To be Accessible:

- A1. (meta)data are retrievable by their identifier using a standardized communications protocol
- A1.1 the protocol is open, free, and universally implementable
- A1.2 the protocol allows for an authentication and authorization procedure, where necessary
- A2. metadata are accessible, even when the data are no longer available

To be Interoperable:

- I1. (meta)data use a formal, accessible, shared, and broadly applicable language for knowledge representation.
- 12. (meta)data use vocabularies that follow FAIR principles
- 13. (meta)data include qualified references to other (meta)data

To be Reusable:

- R1. meta(data) are richly described with a plurality of accurate and relevant attributes
- R1.1. (meta)data are released with a clear and accessible data usage license
- R1.2. (meta)data are associated with detailed provenance
- R1.3. (meta)data meet domain-relevant community standards

$\boldsymbol{u}^{\scriptscriptstyle b}$

FAIR Principles – Subclauses as Requirements

FAIRness as references for research outcomes assesment



 $u^{{}^{\scriptscriptstyle \mathsf{b}}}$

Behavior-Driven Development Example: SMART framework - Intellectual Asset

Component 4: BDD + Gherkin Syntax

...covering the 15 FAIRness requirements, mapped into the RDLCM by human-readable language.

- → Behavior-Driven Development:
 - Discovery
 - Formulation
 - Automation
- → Gherkin Syntax
- → Three Amigos Meetings



8. Who should formulate the scenarios? (cucumber.io)



BDD and Gherkin Syntax

Gherkin Syntax – Automating FAIR Subclauses for Each SMART Metric

Gherkin Syntax: A *human-readable* language that describes **behavioural scenarios** for systems.

It enables the automation of SMART metrics and the FAIR principles' subclauses.

• Example Format:

- Given [initial context],
- When [action is taken],
- Then [expected outcome].

- → Example for FAIR Findability (F1)
 - Given a dataset with metadata,
 - When the metadata is queried by a machine to collect dataset,
 - **Then** the metadata (UID) must be found and solvable.

^{9.} Gherkin Syntax - Cucumber Documentation

BDD and Gherkin Syntax

Three Amigos

 $u^{\scriptscriptstyle b}$

To examine work **before**, during, and after development.

Three perspectives:

- Business What problem are we trying to solve? (**Product Owner**)
- Development How might we build a solution to solve that problem? (**Developer**)
- Testing What about this, what could possibly happen? (Tester)

These different perspectives collaborate to define:

(i) what to do, (ii) how to develop it and (iii) how to test it.



The end result?

➔ A clearer description (requirements by documentation) of an increment of work (by exemplary scenarios), leading to a shared understanding of the product.

^{10.} What are the Three Amigos in Agile? | Agile Alliance

u^b Proposed Framework – Overview



u^b Framework Advantages Focused on Machine to Machine (maDMPs)

M2M enhances the **automation and efficiency** of the framework.

- Scalability: M2M allows for large-scale, automated assessments of FAIRness;
- Consistency: Ensures uniform application of SMART metrics across all intellectual asset types through RDLCM; and
- Efficiency: Reduces manual overhead for researchers, institutions, and funders.

u^b Conclusions and Further Work Partial Conclusions

- →The proposed framework offers a holistic approach for assessing FAIRness, integrating multiple digital tools and methodologies (SMART metrics, RDLCM, FAIR principles, BDD).
- →By making data management plans "machine-readable" and automating assessments, this approach promotes more efficient and effective research data management.
- →Highlights a shift toward leveraging digital technologies to streamline the entire data lifecycle (to machine-actional DMPs) from research outputs creation to assessment and evaluation.

u^b Conclusions and Further WorkFurther Work

- Harmonization across Disciplines: Standardizing this framework for use across different research fields.
- Cross-Institutional Collaboration: Encouraging adoption of this framework at national level, enabling broader interoperability between institutions and funders.
- Continued Innovation: Exploring how the framework can support not just publications and datasets, but also emerging types of intellectual assets (e.g., Patents, AI models, complex simulations, etc.).
- Standardization Efforts: Collaborating with data governance bodies to establish "global standards" that align with FAIR principles and other Open Science Metrics.



Dr.-Ing. Federico Grasso Toro

Data Steward <u>federico.grasso@unibe.ch</u> +41 31 684 92 00

Too long, didn't read/listen? Listen to the Human + Machine generated DS4DS-PODCAST here

QR-Code generated by app

21 November 7th, 2024. Empa-Akademie in Dübendorf